

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT RICHARD PRICE,

Petitioner,

v.

ON HABEAS CORPUS,

Respondent.

Case No. 1:22-cv-00103-SAB-HC

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, in which he challenges a prison disciplinary action. Petitioner has not paid the \$5.00 filing fee or submitted an application to proceed in forma pauperis for this action.

When a state prisoner files a habeas petition in a state that contains two or more federal judicial districts, the petition may be filed in either the judicial district in which the petitioner is presently confined or the judicial district in which he was convicted and sentenced. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); Rumsfeld v. Padilla, 542 U.S. 426, 442 (2004) (quoting Carbo v. United States, 364 U.S. 611, 618 (1961)). Petitions challenging the execution of a sentence are preferably heard in the district where the inmate is confined. See Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Petitions challenging convictions or sentences are preferably heard in the district of conviction. See Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266 (N.D. Cal. 1968). Section 2241 further states that, rather than dismissing an improperly filed action, a district court, “in the

1 exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice[,] may transfer” the habeas petition to
2 another federal district for hearing and determination. Id.; see also 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) (court
3 may transfer any civil action “to any other district or division where it might have been brought”
4 for convenience of parties or “in the interest of justice”).

5 Here, Petitioner’s claims relate to a prison disciplinary proceeding that occurred at the
6 Rio Consumnes Correctional Center, which is located within the Sacramento Division of the
7 United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, venue is proper in
8 the Sacramento Division. Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been
9 commenced in the proper court, may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper
10 venue within the District. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Sacramento Division.

11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 12 1. This action is transferred to the Sacramento Division of the United States District
13 Court for the Eastern District of California.
- 14 2. All future filings shall reference the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall
15 be filed at:

16 United States District Court
17 Eastern District of California
18 501 “I” Street, Suite 4-200
19 Sacramento, CA 95814

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: January 26, 2022

22 
23
24
25
26
27
28
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE